

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORI	NEY DOCKETNO
				EXAMINER	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				<u> </u>	1.3
				DATE MAILED:	, 23
		INTERV	IEW SUMMARY		
ΛII p	articipants (applicant, applicant's	s representative, PTO personne	n).		
(1) <u></u>	AARON CHATTE	FJEE (god ng)	(3)		
(2)_	ARDIN MARSCHE		(4)		
Date	of Interview				
Туре	e: 🏹 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative	e).	
Exhi	bit shown or demonstration cond	lucted: Yes No If yes,	brief description:		
Agre	erment 🗌 was reached. 📈 wa	s not reached.			
Clair	m(s) discussed:	NA			
Iden	tification of prior art discussed: _	NA			
Desc	cription of the general nature of v	vhat was agreed to if an agreen	nent was reached, or any othe	r comments: Mi	(Katteryes com
<u>.</u>	Holmed by rescuma	1 that the often	pero and lile	11/2/0 ha	+ her-
ag	formed by recommander or entry a	nd has been s	mind I fine	1 10 24. 21	the colors
	- Program		1		71
must	uller description, if necessary, and be attached. Also, where no co hed.)				
1. 🍃	It is not necessary for applican	t to provide a separate record o	of the substance of the intervie	W.	
IS No actio	ss the paragraph above has bee OT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUI n has are ready been filed, APPI STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Se	ection 713.04). If a resp	onse to the last Office

Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to leach of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form

the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV 1 96)

Ander Marchal

09/158,982

Manual of Patent Examinery Processes. Section 7 (3.04 Substance of Intervals, must be Made of Record

Fig. 1. The state of a particle of the second wave energy and approximate with the self-of the second energy and the second energy \mathbf{x}

in the second of the second of

yang pakabangan ang Kulowaten, Ang mengan benggan pakabangan benggan benggan benggan kang benggan mengan palam aggin, benggan penggan panggan panggan panggan mengan penggan benggan benggan benggan Kompanya benggan penggan Anggan penggan penggan benggan penggan penggan penggan penggan penggan penggan penggan penggan penggan penggan

Stable of the Continue Carterian continue of the continue of the continue that the Matternage of the terms of the faller form, and the somethermal ederwiews.

structive regenous and the apparant entre accessing or greater. The second second in interview of result in the apparament for present encourage and rates and refer to the apparament for present one and rates and to come it material, macropropers when their directly on the question of paternaria,

Example is must be agreed a two sheet carbonic related, the velocity of the color is to see a notice to see a testing and the color and the co

The big speck Schools for a dealine gaver no accept to the contract of the ordinate of the Community of an the file whose in factors are accepted and as a contract of the con debice in the conduction can deposit ply after the main the interpretation was for open the general acceptant.

All mis office promise.

Burger Consultation

to the cases in Million and the beginned to the person of the case of the case

Consider the constant property and the property of the constant o

(2) An area of the area part where the area for the first of the fi

Constitution of the contract o

3) A contract of the property of the sample of the contract is principal truggered languagement, languagement and traditional energy and all and traditional trades for the foreign formal and

The compared the example of the properties of the control of the control of the control of the control of the properties of the properties

was as now both a second to the Capital Con-

Commence to the second of the proof

out the second of the second o regionarioner's mitals.

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complishe writter statement as to the substance of any face in Tiere or telephone interview with regard to an application guist be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action much be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1-135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their atterneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged craft profit set stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be base Jexplusively on the wroten record in the Office of that record is itself occumplate through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or age: I to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates the or she will do so it is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two sheet'rial bon interloaf interview. Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the ammorphate boxes and filling in the blanks in neal bandwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to instruction requirements for which interview recordation is oftenwise provided for in Section 812-01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unrear title script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serral register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant for attorney or again at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a relephonic reterview, the copy is maded to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. It additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be maded promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Senal Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and it so a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowablety are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Frademark Office personne, present

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of this responsibility to record the substance of the interview

It is desireable that the examiner enally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attach tile on the Form, the examiner should check a pox at the hottom of the Form, interming the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, bewever, that the Interview Summary Form without permaily be considered a complete an Eproper repordation of the interview unless it includes or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the sub-facin of any interview should include at least the following applicable items.

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- an identification of specific prior art discussed
- 4) at indentification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- fill a brief identification of the general threat elithe principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verticing or highly idetally assimption of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or the ist of the relegion of arguments of the examiner can be understood in the context of the applical or file. Of course, the applicant may desire to embrasize and fully describe those arguments which he tooks were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters decusard, as if
- That appropriate the general resids or success of the interview users already described in the interview. Stammary Form completed by the examined

Examples are expected to any taken wealthy applicant between a of the indistance of an interview. If the record of any period for response, whichever is congest to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (32 CER 1.135(d)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Apply aims is consisted, what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the laters with 1 thoughs an inaccuracy and if bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowably for error reactors of the limit the examiner should send a letter setting forth the or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and annurate, the examiner should place the indication finiterview record OKT on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner should be pointed.